

Now that it is known that the March 2019 editorial by Wasserstein, Schirm and Lazar is not a continuation of the ASA 2016 Statement on Statistical Significance—what I call ASA I—we should no longer refer to it as ASA II (see ASA president's report, Dec, 2019). Because so many regard the March 2019 editorial as presenting itself as a continuation of official policy (see this post <https://errorstatistics.com/2019/12/13/les-stats-cest-moi-we-take-that-step-here-adopt-our-fav-word-or-phil-stat/>), the ASA Board formed a new Task Force on Statistical Significance and Replication in December of 2019. However, insofar as the guidelines in the March 2019 editorial continue to be advanced as part of the *P-value Project*, it seems correct to refer to the March 2019 editorial as the **2019 ASA *P-value Project II***. Wasserstein is, after all, the Executive Director of the ASA, and is described as a spokesperson for the Association. Even with the appointment of a new ASA Task Force, Wasserstein continues to utilize his platform to urge journal and practitioners to change their guidelines to come into compliance with Wasserstein et al., (2019).